1	Q.	2013 General Rate Application, Finance
2		What is the impact on the 2015 Test Year Revenue Requirement of the \$100 million
3		equity contribution by Government in 2009?
4		
5		
6	A.	As outlined in the Government's June 17, 2009 press release on the matter, the
7		\$100 million equity contribution was to assist in retiring debt. In order to estimate
8		the impact of the \$100 million equity contribution on the 2015 Test Year Revenue
9		Requirement, the following assumptions were made:
10		All other things equal, without the benefit of the equity contribution from
11		the Province, Hydro would have issued 30-year bonds totalling \$100 million
12		(the "New Issue") to assist in retiring existing debt 1;
13		• The New Issue would have been priced to yield ~4.45%. This represents a
14		spread of 50 basis points over the average mid-market closing yield on the
15		30-year Government of Canada Benchmark issue in June of 2009, which was
16		3.95% (as assessed at www.bankofcanada.ca);
17		 Ignoring underwriters fees and the amortization of any discount or
18		premium, the annual cost of the June 2009 issue would be \$4.45 million (i.e.
19		4.45% coupon times outstanding principal of \$100 million);
20		• As at December 31, 2014 and 2015, the total impact on retained earnings of
21		the additional borrowing costs would have been a reduction of \$24.5 million
22		and \$28.9 million respectively; and

• The June 2009 issue would not have been issued with a sinking fund.

23

¹ It is acknowledged that Hydro would have had the option to do a ten-year issue at the time, which would have had a maturity date on or around June 2019. However, given the maturity profile of the existing debt at the time, 30-year issue would have been the prudent approach.

PUB-NLH-062 (Revision 1, Mar 23-15) 2013 NLH General Rate Application Page 2 of 2

1	Based on the above-noted assumptions, the accompanying attachment (PUB-NLH-
2	062 Attachment 1 (Revision 1)) estimates an increase of approximately \$5.8 million
3	in the 2015 Test Year Revenue Requirement due to the \$100 million equity
4	contribution.

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro

Estimated Impact of 2009 Equity Contribution on 2015 Revenue Requirement

1 (\$	5000)	As Filed		Without NL equity contribution	
2		Test Year	Test Year	Test Year	Test Year
3		<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>
4 R	egulated capital structure				
5	Net regulated debt	1,199,954	1,433,578	1,299,954	1,533,578
6	Asset retirement obligation	24,792	25,526	24,792	25,52
7	Less: unfunded portion	(14,442)	(12,169)	(14,442)	(12,16
8	Employee future benefits	66,213	72,454	66,213	72,45
9	Contributed capital	100,000	100,000	-	
10	Retained earnings cost of service exclusions	977	1,300	977	1,30
11	Retained earnings	261,887	295,119	237,412	266,19
12 T o	otal	1,639,381	1,915,808	1,614,906	1,886,88
13				(24,475)	(28,92
	egulated capital structure (%)				
15	Debt	73.2%	74.8%	80.5%	81.3
16	Asset retirement obligation	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.7
17	Employee future benefits	4.0%	3.8%	4.1%	3.8
18	Equity	22.1%	20.7%	14.8%	14.2
19 T o	otal	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0
20					
21 R e	egulated average capital structure (%)				
22	Debt		74.0%		80.9
23	Asset retirement obligation		0.7%		0.7
24	Employee future benefits		3.9%		4.0
25	Equity		21.4%		14.5
26 T	otal		100.0%		100.0
27					
28 R e	eturn on rate base				
29					
30	Average rate base for 2015		1,802,024		1,802,02
31					
32	Capital structure: percent debt		74.0%		80.9
33	Embedded cost of debt		6.67%		6.4
34	Weighted average return on debt		4.94%		5.20
35					
36	Capital structure: percent equity		21.42%		14.5
37	Return on equity		8.80%		8.80
38	Weighted average return on equity		1.89%		1.27
39					
40	Return on debt		89,255		93,70
41	Return on equity		33,232		22,94
	add cost of service exclusions		323		32
42 T.	otal return on rate base		122,810		116,97